Brain Theory Still Subject of Debate
Left Hemisphere, Right Hemisphere Control Challenged

By JOHN EDWIN DAVIES, Newday

Learning troubles a 14-year-old named Randy is trying to overcome poor spelling — through tutoring designed, in large part, for the right side of his brain.

This "right-hemisphere" approach is popular but increasingly controversial. Tutors question its scientific validity. As taped music plays in the background, a teacher tells Randy he has entered a "zone of easy learning" where he is going to master spelling. Then she issues instructions:

"Imagine yourself at the beach," says the instructor, Cecelia Pollack, who is director of Interchange Diagnostic and Learning Center, a private service located in Great Neck, N.Y. "She relates a story, in which the student dives into nearby waters and discovers a "magic pat" that will improve his spelling. "As you walk out of the water," she adds, "you see the waves wash the sand and you see a nice, smooth place. You decide, 'Here is a good place to practice my spelling words.'"

Randy Debated Theory

Underlying these instructions is an intriguing theory. The right side of the brain controls the perception of music and spatial proportion (such as readings in a sand box). The left side controls the use of language, including spelling. Thus, it's argued, poor spellers may be "left-brain dominant." They may learn more easily if instruction is tailored largely to the right side of the brain.

It is a theory hotly debated, but there are many who defend its application. Pollack, a former college professor who takes pride in Randy's improvement in spelling, says simply, "It works."

For decades, educators have tried to expand the brain's capacity for learning, by learning more about the brain. Yet, there is little agreement. After years of probing, this pinkish-gray bundle of nerves, scarcely three pounds in weight, remains a source of endless speculation. "I'm such an amateur on this," said Robert M. Finley, superintendent of Glen Cove, N.Y., schools. He recently arranged for teachers to hear a lecture on brain theory, but says he lacks time to study the subject in depth. "It's difficult to apply this, if you don't know what you're doing," he said, "and I have to admit we don't."

Functions Are Independent

Confusion over the subject is understandable. Only a few years ago, many scientists and educators seemed convinced they had unlocked a secret to learning, in the theory popularized as "left brain, right brain." But today that theory and others regarding the human brain are being challenged by new research.

"It's perfectly nonsense," said Jerry Levy, a professor of behavioral sciences at the University of Chicago. She has written several recent articles critical of the idea that hemispheric functions are independent.

"The whole business of right and left brain has been overromanticized," agreed Daniel R. Weinberger, chief of clinical neuropsychiatry at the National Institute of Mental Health in Washington, D.C. His recent studies of the brain's blood flow indicate that the two hemispheres work together to a much greater extent than previously recognized. "When people speak," he said, "it's clear that both hemispheres are working."

Once again, scholars are changing their minds about
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A 7-year-old boy matches letters with toys under watchful gaze of supervisor Elinor Dubner.

BRAIN THEORY

Continued from 18th Page

the mind. But then, debate over the nature of the brain, and its proper training, has continued unabated for thousands of years. In ancient Greece, some philosophers considered the brain "the divinest part of us all"; others believed that intelligence resided in the heart. In the early 1900s, some scientists believed that youth could be transformed into either kings or criminals through mind-conditioning—a theme pursued in the play Pygmalion, about a coyotory flower girl trained to act like a well-bred lady.

Nowadays, many educators envision the brain as two minds in one, each requiring a different sort of training. This concept, now under increasing attack, stems largely from research conducted in the 1960s by Roger Sperry of the California Institute of Technology in Pasadena.
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Subjects were epileptics who had undergone surgery to control seizures. To accomplish this, doctors severed the bands of nerve fibers known as corpus callosum that linked the left and right halves of patients' brains. This provided Sperry with a rare opportunity to study the functions of these two hemispheres.

Results were intriguing and hard to grasp. In one experiment, a patient known as N.G. sat in front of a movie screen. The patient, a midwife, was asked to stare at a dot located in the screen's center. Then, the image of the movie was flashed on the screen, to the dot's left. The patient blinked and giggled.

"Just a Flash of Light!"

But when asked what she saw, she replied, "Nothing, just a flash of light." Researchers concluded that the image had been transmitted in normal fashion, from the patient's left field of vision to the right side of her brain.

But N.G. could not describe what she had seen, because the message was controlled by the right hemisphere, and because the link between the two hemispheres had been cut by the surgeon's scalpel.

"Two separate selves" was the way Sperry described human brains. The experiments dramatized the distinction between the two halves of the brain; critics would say "overdramatized!"

The left hemisphere, according to this concept, was analytical. It solved problems one step at a time. The right hemisphere was imaginative and insightful. It considered problems as a whole. And each hemisphere controlled its own set of functions. Speaking and computation were governed by the left side; the right controlled the perception of music, art, and spatial proportion. Students who had trouble with reading and writing, therefore, thought to suffer from a weakness in the left hemisphere. Or to put it more poetically, they might be "right-brain dominant."

Plateau Period

And this was the plate of brain development that has an impact on why children are taught differently. During the 1970s, another researcher, Thomas N. Epstein of Brandeis University, popularized the idea that the brain grows spurts.

Epstein, a neurobiologist who had studied brain-wave patterns, contended that brain development was rapid at some stages; slow at others. There was a marked slowdown of "plateau" in the growth of the brain, for example, between ages 12 and 14. According to Epstein, 12-year-olds were typically unqualified for the sort of "formal" reasoning and comprehension that requires the development of full brain function.

Many seemed unqualified even for reading.

"We're not saying that our younger children cannot learn during a plateau period," said Conrad Toepfer, an associate professor at the State University at Buffalo.
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who has worked with Epstein. "But we do know it's more difficult. If you challenge a child beyond his level, he begins to have problems."

These are catchy ideas. And soon, they gained acceptance throughout the country, as they were debated at educational conferences and workshops. Their popularity was easily understood; they provided reassuring answers for those who wondered why so many children failed in their studies.

If children weren't reading, educators told themselves, it was not necessarily because schools were lax. Perhaps the children were simply immature, or suffering from a malformation in their left hemispheres. Perhaps they needed more time to mature, before they were given demanding course work. Or perhaps they needed music and visual images — subjects designed for the right side of the brain.

Special Training

In many communities, they got them. One institution that adopted the left-right hypothesis is Developmental Resource Center, located near Miami. There, students as young as 4 are tested for signs of right-side dominance. Then they're given special training. Instructors teach a student to listen carefully, by asking him to visualize images in sentences read aloud. For example, an instructor might say, "Mary had a pretty green dress," while asking a student to visualize Mary and the dress.

The center's director, Deborah Levy, says, she probably would use such techniques even if she hadn't believed in the right hemisphere theory. "But it's helped," she said, "it helps me understand the children scientifically, to lump them together a little bit."

"It's not so crazy, actually," said Albert Galaburda, a researcher at Harvard Medical School, referring to methods used by Developmental Resource and scores of other schools across the country. Galaburda, who is searching for proof that abnormal development of one hemisphere may contribute to poor reading, added, "It's entirely conceivable that schools rely heavily on left-handed strategies. It would be far better to buttress some children's educations with films, plays, things that enlist the right hemisphere of their brains."

But there is growing evidence, researchers say, that the brain's two hemispheres are not isolated at all. To the contrary, they resemble two high-wire acrobats reliant on each other's support. Recent observation suggests, for example, that professional musicians use their hemispheres during a performance, but that their right hemispheres also help.

The underlying message of this recent research is clear: Children learn with both sides of their brains. Teachers who stress the distinction between hemispheres may be considered, well — one-sided. Certain students may learn more if reading lessons are set to music or made more concrete. But no one knows if this phenomenon has to do with the functions of the brain's hemispheres. In our continued research on the brain, the limiting factor has proven to be the brain itself.

"We don't know enough yet," said Ronald S. Brandt, assistant director of the Asha, for Supervision and Curriculum Development, which represents about 13,000 middle-level school administrators nationwide. "It's too early to say we should teach art and music because's the only way to exercise the right hemisphere. I'm a little skeptical."

Skepticism also is increasing over the notion that the brain grows in spurts. In many school systems, this concept still is cited as justification for creation of "middle schools," comprising grades six to eight. Such schools, according to many administrators, conform to Epstein's theories on brain development, because they offer younger athletes a less-advanced academic schedule that of traditional junior high schools. Critics agree that middle schools have their good points. But they also say there is scant evidence — judging from test scores — that middle schools are especially compatible with the 12-year-old brain.

"These theories set of alarm bells for me," said Joan Lipitz, director of a center for early adolescence studies at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. "There are young teen-agers who are ready to address them, hypotheses and other abstractions. But these theories are telling us, in no uncertain terms, that young children are not to be given this."

"I'm disturbed," agreed researcher Jerre Levy, "by this idea that the child shouldn't be challenged or threatened because it might wreck the little darlings' psyche. Children need to be challenged."

Los Angeles Times