Reprinted from: CONSCIOUSNESS AND THE BRAIN (1976)

Edited by Gordon G. Globus, Grover Maxwell and Irwin Savodnik Book available from: Plenum Publishing Corporation 227 West 17th Street, New York, New York 10011

# 6 Mental Phenomena as Causal Determinants in Brain Function

R. W. SPERRY

The central concepts concerning consciousness that I shall try to defend have already been presented in some detail (Sperry, 1952, 1964, 1965). Accordingly, I shall review them only in brief outline, devoting the bulk of the discussion to various peripheral aspects and implications that previously have had less emphasis. At the outset let me make it clear that when I refer to consciousness I mean that kind of experience that is lost when one faints or sinks into a coma. It is the subjective experience that is lacking during dreamless sleep, that may be obliterated by a blow on the head, by anoxia, or by pressure on the inner walls of the third ventricle during brain surgery. On the positive side we can include as conscious events the various sensations elicitable by a local electric current applied to the unanesthetized brain, or the pain of a phantom amputated limb, as well as most of our waking subjective experience, including self-consciousness.

I want to emphasize, however, that I shall not be concerned particularly with *self*-consciousness any more than with the conscious-

ness of other selves, or with that of external objects, situations and events; self-consciousness is a separate story in itself. Nor shall I be trying to define different forms of consciousness, nor intermediate states between full awareness and the *sub* conscious or the *un* conscious. My arguments can all be referred to some clearly accepted and simple example of conscious experience, like seeing red, or hearing a musical tone, or feeling pain. The problem is difficult enough in its simplest and clearest formulation without introducing the confusion of borderline states. I assume that, if we can find an answer to the mind-brain problem in its simplest form, we shall then be able to apply the basic concepts to its more complex aspects.

For the sake of further clarification, let me specify that I shall address myself throughout to the problem of the nature of consciousness and the mind-brain relation as it presents in other people's brains primarily, rather than in my own brain. This, it is hoped, will avoid various logical entanglements that otherwise arise. This starting move is based, of course, on the assumption that other people's brains do have consciousness much like my own. Those who are not willing to accept this assumption have, I suspect, a separate problem all their own. I am not trying by this step to avoid entirely questions concerning the privacy of conscious experience. A number of different approaches to this important privacy, or first-person, property of consciousness are recognized, and I will try to outline later, in context, the explanation to which my own position leads.

Perhaps the quickest way to center in on our current interpretation is to compare it broadly with others. We can start by saying that ours does not belong among positions based on dualism, epiphenomenalism, or other parallelisms. We can bypass as well the radical behaviorist refusal to consider the problem, and various sophistries and epistemological gymnastics that would make it just a pseudoproblem or explain it away as unimportant or nonexistent. We can also dialectic varieties. Our position does not accord either with the interpretation of subjective experience as just an inner aspect of the one ness of some sort.

### AN EMERGENT THEORY

On the positive side our present view can be classified broadly as an "emergent" theory of mind that needs to be distinguished from other emergent theories advanced previously, mainly by the Gestalt school in psychology. It differs from these in several respects: first, the phenomena of subjective experience are not thought to be derived from electrical field forces or volume-conduction effects, or any metaneuronal by-product of cerebral activity. Our view relies on orthodox neural-circuit and related physiological properties (Sperry, 1952; 1953; Sperry & Miner, 1955). Second, there is no assumption of the need for an isomorphic or topological correspondence between the events of perceptual experience and corresponding events in the brain. I have conceived the mental properties to be functional derivatives that get their meaning from the way in which the brain circuits and related processes operate and interact, rather than in terms of isomorphic correlations (Sperry, 1952). Reference to "spatiotemporal patterning" of brain activity is safe as far as it goes, but this term fails to connote the operational derivation of the conscious properties that I have tried to emphasize. Third, the conscious subjective properties in our present view are interpreted to have causal potency in regulating the course of brain events; that is, the mental forces or properties exert a regulative control influence in brain physiology. The subjective conscious experience on these terms becomes an integral part of the brain process, rather than a correlated phenomenon as conceived by Köhler (Köhler and Held, 1949) and others. The mental events are causes rather than correlates. In this respect our view can be said to involve a form of mental interactionism, except that there is no implication of dualism or other parallelism in the traditional sense. The mental forces are direct causal emergents of the brain process.

When I initially stated this view in 1965 one had to search a bypass the traditional materialism of the hard-core reductionistic and long way in philosophy, and especially in science, to find anyone who would put into writing that mental forces or events are capable of causing physical changes in an organism's behavior or its neurophysimaterial brain process. It is further distinguishable from the so-called ology. With rare exceptions writings in behavioral science dealing with "identity theory," that version of materialism which holds that mental perception, imagery, emotion, cognition, and various other mental phenomena are identical with the neural events. This view does not phenomena were very cautiously phrased to conform with prevailing correlate consciousness with language particularly. Finally, it is in materialist-behaviorist doctrine. Care was taken to be sure that the disagreement with the position known as panpsychism in which rocks subjective phenomena should not be implied to be more than passive and trees and all things in the universe are held to possess conscious-correlates or inner aspects of brain events, and especially to avoid any <mark>im</mark>plication that the mental phenomena might interact causally with the physical brain process. Those few who did subscribe earlier to the theory of psychophysical interaction were such extreme dualists that theory of psychophysical in behavioral science. Once we could show how mental events can causally influence neural events in a compromise formulation that does not violate the principles of scientific explanation, the long-standing resistance to mental-physical interaction began to decline. It is only since then that mental imagery, for example, has been able to gain popular acceptance as an explanatory construct Today it becomes increasingly difficult to differentiate some of the closely related positions on these matters, and one must go back to the "pre-'65" versions in order to make clear distinctions.

# COMPARISON WITH IDENTITY THEORY

Our "emergent interactionist" position was described as a compromise between dualistic mentalism and pre-'65 materialism, indicating that it would not be difficult to stretch either mentalism or materialism, including identity theory, to encompass the emergent interpretation. I say this despite the declaration of Feigl (1967) that,

not illogical!) exercise within the frame of an untenable presupposition.

distinguish the conscious from the many nonconscious properties that special mental properties have not been described objectively as yet in alone. any form. They are holistic configurational properties that have yet to be discovered. We predict that, once they have been discovered and understood, they will be best conceived of as being different from and A SIMPLE APPROACH more than the neural events of which they are composed.

In our own view, colors, sounds, sights, taste, smell, pain, and all the other phenomena of the world of inner mental experience are given due recognition as phenomena in their own right. Rather than the brain's physiology can be understood very simply in terms of the

being identical to the neural events, as is generally understood, they are emergents of these events. To say that the mental experience is identical to the brain process is analogous, in our interpretation, to saying that the physiological brain process is itself identical to the chemical events that compose it, or that these chemical events are in turn identical to their atomistic and electron-proton events, etc. It is like saying that the upcoming ninth wave at Laguna is nothing but another uplift and fall of H<sub>2</sub>O and other molecules.

I take the stand that wholes and their properties are real phenomena, and that these and their causal potency are just as important as the properties of the parts to which the reductionist position likes to give prior, or even sole, recognition. This is to say, that the relationships of the parts to each other in time and space are of critical importance in causation and in determining the nature and properties of all entities. It is a pragmatic interpretation of what is real and meaningful.

In trying to see that the pattern properties are just as real and important as are the properties of the parts, it may help to recognize that the properties of the parts are themselves in turn holistic properties of subsystems at a different level. The reductionist approach that would always explain the whole in terms of the parts leads to an infinite regress in which eventually everything is held to be explainable in If future scientific research should lead to the adoption of one or terms of essentially nothing. Let me repeat that the thing to remember another form of emergentism (or—horrible dictu!—dualistic interactionism), in this connection is that, in the causal interplay between systems and then most of my reflections will be reduced to the status of a logical (I hope their surroundings, the spatial and the temporal relationships of the constituent parts of a system have in themselves important causal I was unable to find in pre-'65 identity theory anything to efficacy over and above the properties of the parts per se

Even a pile of stones (Wimsatt, 1971) will be a very different seem to comprise the subsystems of any given neural event, nor did entity with very different properties depending on how the given set of find a distinction between neural events that involve consciousness and stones happens to be piled together. When hit by a car or jiggled by an those that lack consciousness, as in the cerebellum or spinal cord. In earthquake, different patterns of the whole may exhibit properties that general the term "neural events," as this term had been used thus far in supersede those of the parts in determining the causal consequences. science and philosophy, hardly included the holistic conscious proper. There is no way in which the relationships of the parts in space and ties that I think of as the mental properties of the brain process. These time for any given entity can be reduced to the properties of the parts

The way in which mental phenomena are conceived to control

chain of command in the brain's hierarchy of causal controls (Sperry chain of command in the forces operating at subatomic and 1965). It is easy to see that the forces operating at subatomic and 1965). It is easy to see that are molecule-bound, and subnuclear levels within brain cells are molecule-bound, and are subnuclear levels within the subatomic elements are embed; and are superseded by the encompassing configurational properties of the brain molecules in which the subatomic elements are embedded; that brain molecules in other subatomic elements are pushed and hauled is, the nuclear and other subatomic plus the enveloping molecular about in chemical interactions by the enveloping molecular properties about in chemical interactions of the brain molecules are enveloped by In the same way the plan organization, and the properties of the brain the dynamics of century the dynamics of century the brain cells are in turn superseded by the larger network properties of the circuit systems in which they are embedded.

At the apex of the brain's organizational hierarchy are found the large cerebral processes that mediate mental activity. These large cerebral events as entities have their own dynamics and associated properties that causally determine their interactions. These top-level systems' properties supersede those of the various subsystems they embody

Only some of the dynamics of the some of t the higher levels of cerebial activities may in some others are not, even though the unconscious activities may in some additional pressure and trauma on the sore right foot. Occasionally, as others are not, even though the distribution of the solution of the right foot. Occasionally, as cases be equally or more complex. Complexity alone is not, in our additional pressure and trauma on the sore right foot. Occasionally, as the result of an extra-hard impact or abrasion to the right foot, the rat cases be equally of finite complexity of any given cerebral may yip or squeak and will turn to lick, not at the sore right foot, but at operational function rather than the complexity of any given cerebral the uninjured left foot when it is there, or otherwise at the amputation process that determines its conscious effect.

In this respect my interpretation differs from that of Teilhard stump. de Chardin (1959). Consciousness in my view is strictly a property of assumption that conscious experience is not restricted to the human brain circuits specifically designed to produce the particular conscious species. Self-consciousness is another matter, of course, and may well species. Self-consciousness is another matter, of course, and may well effects obtained from different brain regions. On these terms I see no be limited mainly to man with some beginnings in the higher subhuway in which the consciousness of individuals could become coalesced man forms. The experimental rat's false reference of pain to the ampuinto a megaconscious experience of humanity as a whole, nor any way tated left foot persists throughout life, and this example thus serves to in which the consciousness of one brain could influence that of another reinforce our view that the basic circuit properties responsible for by a metaphysical route.

mutual interdependence is recognized to exist between the neural around a primitive awareness with positive and negative reinforcement events and the emergent mental phenomena. In other words, the brainfunctions. physiology determines the mental effects and the mental phenomena in turn have causal influence on the neurophysiology. The interjection of contention that the animal's responses in protectively holding up the subjective mental experience into the causal sequence of decision mak wrong foot and in yipping and licking the wrong foot are caused ing on these terms brings a compromise, not only between materialism directly in brain function by the subjective pain property itself, rather and mentalism, but also between the positions of determinism and freethan by the physiology of the nerve impulses or by the chemical, will. Determinism of this kind, in which subjective experience is in atomistic, or other subunit features of the brain process. The pain

any voluntary choice far above that envisaged in traditional materialism or atomistic determinism.

I have tried to tie these general principles to the example of subjective pain as it is referred to an amputated limb (Sperry, 1965). For present purposes let us make it more specifically the pain of a phantom left foot that is produced by stimulation of a sore toe in the opposite hindfoot in one of our experimental "sensory nerve cross" rats. These are rats in which the right hindfoot has become reinnervated by foreign nerves that originally had supplied the left foot (Sperry, 1943). The switch in nerve connections from left to right foot is brought about by surgical cross-union of the sciatic nerve and its branches from left to right leg in the fourth week after birth as a test of central nervous plasticity and the functional interchangeability of nerve connections. Occasionally the animals will "instinctively" chew off the denervated insensitive foot on the left, and there is also a tendency for cutaneous trophic sores to develop in the right foot while it is being reinnervated. Only some of the dynamic holistic properties that emerge in because these rats walk around on three legs protectively holding up

aphysical route.

As is the case for most, or all, part–whole relationships, a They may have evolved initially around sensory functions and/or

The main point to be brought out with this example is the cluded as a causal agent in brain function, allows degrees of freedom ir sensation is considered to be a real emergent phenomenon in itself.

Although built of neural events, and possibly of glial events as well, the Although built of neural whole is not itself the same as the constituent pain sensation as a larger whole the subjective pain to be viewed as a neural and glial events. Nor is the subjective pain to be viewed as a neural and grial events of the brain process. Rather, I look upon it as a mere parallel correlate of the brain activity that has an import mere parallel correlate of the brain activity that has an important causal real dynamic entity in the brain activity that has an important causal real dynamic entity in the stimulus-response sequence. In role as a phenomenon itself in the stimulus-response sequence. In other words, a full objective account of the whole stimulus-response other words, a full objective without including the pain as such, process would not be complete without including the pain as such. process would not be compensation of the pair phenomena to give (Nagel, 1971). an adequate description of the neural composition of the pain phenoman adequate description of the conscious events, one assumes that this will be consciousness is nicely illustrated in the diversity of positions seriously

# THE BISECTED BRAIN AND UNITY OF CONSCIOUSNESS

Philosophy has been concerned with the "unity of conscious domains of conscious awareness." ness" in connection with problems relating to the nature of the self, the ness" in connection with protection with prote two decades (Sperry, 1961, 1966, 1968, 1970a, 1973), the surgically on the depth and extent of the surgery, and depending also on the separated hemispheres of animals and man have been shown to per-nature and level of the particular conscious process in question. I would ceive, learn, and remember independently, each hemisphere evidently credit the neocommissures with a unifying role in conscious activity cut off from the conscious experience of the other. In man the language under normal conditions that in effect serves to tie the conscious dominant hemisphere further reports verbally that it is not consciously function of the hemispheres together across the midline into a single aware of the concomitant or immediately preceding mental perform-unified process. The callosal activity thus becomes part of the conscious aware of the concounted partner hemisphere. These test performances event. The fiber systems uniting right and left hemispheres are viewed of which the speaking hemisphere remains unaware obviously involve as being not essentially different in their relation to consciousness from perception, comprehension, and in some cases nonverbal memory those uniting front and back or other areas within the same hemireasoning, and concept formation of different kinds depending on the sphere. I know of no evidence as yet that says we must exclude whitenature of the test task. In these and in many other respects, the split matter neural events from consciousness, or, in other words, that brain animal and man behave as if each of the separated hemispheresconscious effects are confined to grey-matter dynamics. This interpretahad a mind of its own.

conscious awareness into two distinct domains of conscious experiunder exceptional conditions, and particularly where pathology tends ence that exist in parallel, and in some cases have content that isto depress commissural function. mutually contradictory, has been subject to several different philosoph-

hemisphere (Bogen, 1969; Puccetti, 1973). A contrasting interpretation says that only one, the language-dominant hemisphere, remains conscious (Eccles, 1970), and thus the unity of consciousness is preserved. It is inferred that the disconnected minor hemisphere operates like an automaton or complex computer. Another view holds that consciousness is not centered in either right or left hemisphere, but in some unified metaorganizing system (MacKay, 1966), presumably in the intact brain stem. There are additional variations on these main themes

enon, or of other consciousness is nicely illustrated in the diversity of positions seriously possible eventually as our knowledge of brain mechanisms continues to supported here and currently among our colleagues. At least one of our conferees (like Whitehead, Waddington, and others) maintains that rocks have consciousness (Globus, 1973). In other words, panpsychism still lives! At the other extreme, another of our members would deny conscious experience, not only to rocks and plants, but even to the minor hemisphere of the human brain (Eccles, 1970). Others claim that each of us in the normal state operates with two distinct right and left

My own inclination is to see consciousness as being unified in tion does not exclude the possibility that the conscious processes in left This division by surgery of the normally unified realm oand right hemispheres may function separately in the undivided brain

Surgical separation of the hemispheres, especially the deeper ical interpretations. One line of reasoning concludes that each hemibisections we perform in animals, I have interpreted as resulting in the sphere of the brain must have a mind of its own, not only after surgery reation of two distinct domains of consciousness. This says nothing but also in the normal intact state as well; that is, the normal individua about self-consciousness. It remains to be determined how much, if is interpreted to be a compound of two persons, one based in eaclany, self-consciousness is present in the disconnected minor hemi-

components with, other brain processes that do not reach conscious components with, other properties are not to be conceived in simple awareness. The holistic properties are not to be conceived in simple awareness. The holistic properties but rather in terms of nerve of an internal-combustion engine without being directly involved in spatial, volume, or dimension terms but rather in terms of nerve of an internal-combustion engine without being directly involved in spatial, volume, or dimension the emergent dynamics of the internal explosions, temperatures, and pressures, so it should be network and cerebral-circuit interactions, the emergent dynamics of the internal explosions, temperatures, and pressures, so it should be levels of brain function.

nents, coalesced through continuous that cannot be accounted brain dynamics as a unit. This is illustrated in the unified visual for in terms merely of the neurophysiologic and neurochemical events brain dynamics as a unit. This is illustrated in the unified visual for in terms merely of the neurophysiologic and neurochemical events there are traditionally conceived perception of a stimulus figure flashed tachistoscopically half in the lef as these are traditionally conceived. perception of a stimulus right resolvent and half-fields. In the normal brain the right and half in the right visual half-fields. In the normal brain the right and normal it will be helpful to keep the subjective qualities in mind and left hemispheric components combine and function as a unit in the normal phenomena it will be helpful to keep the subjective qualities in mind and the normal control. In the divided brain, on the subjective misled into thinking of these emergents of accounts. as a distinct entity.

# PRIVACY OF SUBJECTIVE EXPERIENCE

nomena is not expected to be the same as the subjective description. The to be discovered—hardly to be identified with what has heretofore reason, however, that an observer's understanding and description compared the neural events. another's subjective experience differs from the subjective experience tion is not enough; the second brain must be in an intimately involve problems. required.

Just as it is possible to describe and understand the workings network and cerebral-circuit interest and pressures, so it should be which have yet to be elucidated, especially for the upper, conscious possible in principle to describe and understand in objective terms the phenomena of subjective experience. These describes are the phenomena of subjective experience. brain function.

Normally, with the neocommissures intact, neural events in however, available. Essentially I was only predicting that, when these Normally, with the these Normally, with the right and left upper arms of our schematized Y substrate of conscious, objective descriptions are eventually achieved, they will be found to be right and left upper arms of our schematized Y substrate of conscious, objective descriptions are eventually achieved, they will be found to be right and left upper arms of our services. The crite expressible in terms of emergent holistic properties of high-order cereness become merged into a unified control of the right and left composition for unity is an operational one; that is, the right and left composition for unity is an operational one; that is, the right and left composition for unity is an operational one; that is, the right and left composition for unity is an operational one; that is, the right and left composition for unity is an operational one; that is, the right and left composition for unity is an operational one; that is, the right and left composition for unity is an operational one; that is, the right and left composition for unity is an operational one; that is, the right and left composition for unity is an operational one; that is, the right and left composition for unity is an operational one; that is, the right and left composition for unity is an operation of the right and left composition for unity is an operation of the right and left composition for unity is an operation of the right and left composition for unity is an operation of the right and left composition for unity is an operation of the right and left composition for unity is an operation of the right and left composition for unity is an operation of the right and left composition for unity is an operation of the right and left composition for unity is a potential operation of the right and left composition for unity is a potential operation of the right and left composition for unity is a potential operation of the right and left composition for unity is a potential operation of the right and left composition of the r rion for unity is an operational communication, function in to play a potent causal role in brain function that cannot be accounted nents, coalesced through commissural communication, function in to play a potent causal role in brain function that cannot be accounted nents, coalesced through commissural communication, function in to play a potent causal role in brain function that cannot be accounted nents, coalesced through commissural communication, function in to play a potent causal role in brain function that cannot be accounted nents, coalesced through commissural communication, function in to play a potent causal role in brain function that cannot be accounted nents, coalesced through commissural communication, function in to play a potent causal role in brain function that cannot be accounted nents, coalesced through commissural communication, function in the unified view for in terms merely of the neurophysiologic and communication.

left hemispheric components control. In the divided brain, on the other not be misled into thinking of these emergents of neural events as being causal sequence of cerebral control. In the divided brain, on the other not be misled into thinking of these emergents of neural events as being causal sequence of cerebral control. causal sequence of cerebral control. In the divided brain, on the other causal sequence of cerebral control. In the divided brain, on the other causal sequence of cerebral control. In the divided brain, on the other causal sequence of cerebral control. In the divided brain, on the other causal sequence of cerebral control. In the divided brain, on the other causal sequence of cerebral control. In the divided brain, on the other causal sequence of cerebral control. In the divided brain, on the other causal sequence of cerebral control. In the divided brain, on the other causal sequence of cerebral control. In the divided brain, on the other causal sequence of cerebral control. In the divided brain, on the other causal sequence of cerebral control. In the divided brain, on the other causal sequence of cerebral control causal sequence of cerebral ca includes the physiology of nerve-impulse traffic, the underlying chemistry, plus all sorts of subatomic low- and high-energy physical phenomena. While these may be the stuff of neural events, they are not, as I see it, the conscious phenomena. The latter are distinct causal properties that emerge only at upper levels of the brain hierarchy and with The objective description of pain or of other conscious phecertain special types of cerebral events, unique as far as we know and

another's subjective experience differs from the subjective experience another's subjective experience differs from the subjective experience differs the subjective experience tiselt is not so illucit because this fitted at the description of a representation (Globus, 1973), but for a more basic reason porate these emergent interaction concepts, it is important to recognize involving the nature of the causal relationships involved. The conscious the various differences in other areas of philosophy. involving the nature of the causal relationships involved. The conscious the various differences involved. These differences have important subjective qualities, as I conceive them, derive from the selective operations of sequences in other areas of philosophy that deal with determinism tional interactions of brain events in a matrix of brain activity (Spern 1971), and with the whole field of human values and the relation of with and thereby experience the subjective qualities of another brain scientific explanation to value judgment (Sperry, 1972). Value theory would be through an intimate communication into the interior of the more proposed as the proposed of would be through an intimate communication into the interior of the importance on our present terms, especially in view of the critical observed brain that would enable it to leact to the internal operation, ignificance of human value priorities in the context of mounting crisis

Our interpretation of the phenomena of inner experience as Our interpretation of the phenomena of inner experience as relation with the internal operations of the first brain. Reasoning from a control agents in cerebral function yields a picture of scientific our split-brain findings in animals and human patients, I have used the teterminism somewhat different from either the materialist or mental-example of a corpus-callosum-type of intercommunication system is tviews. Introduction of mental phenomena into the causal sequence this connection (Sperry, 1969) to illustrate the kind of interaction that if brain function means, among other things, that values of all kinds, ven aesthetic, spiritual and irrational, must now be recognized as

positive causal factors in human decision making—as must all other REFERENCES positive causal lactors an office components of the world of inner subjective experience. The degrees components of the work and kinds of freedom thereby introduced into the causal sequence of a and kinds of freedom discourse of a volitional choice can be seen to set the human brain apart, by comparivolitional choice can be seen to set the number of the deterministic Eccles, J. C. (1970): Facing Reality: Philosophical adventures by a brain scientist. New York: Springer-Verlag, pp. 73–80. son, above all other known systems, and the present interpretation of science. Considered broadly, our present interpretation of science. Considered broadly, our present interpretation of science interpretation of science. Considered broadly, our present interpretation of science interpretation of scien choice, inner creativity, and other fundamental attributes of which it has Köhler, W. & Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, pp. 312–313, also 422–444.

Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, pp. 312–313, also 422–444. long been deprived by the beta by uniting the subjective mental phe in the brain-behavior sciences. By uniting the subjective mental phe in the brain-behavior sciences. By uniting the subjective mental phe in the brain-behavior sciences. By uniting the subjective mental phe in the brain-behavior sciences. By uniting the subjective mental phe in the brain-behavior sciences. By uniting the subjective mental phe in the brain-behavior sciences. By uniting the subjective mental phe in the brain-behavior sciences. By uniting the subjective mental phe in the brain-behavior sciences. By uniting the subjective mental phe in the brain-behavior sciences. By uniting the subjective mental phe in the brain-behavior sciences. By uniting the subjective mental phe in the brain-behavior sciences. By uniting the subjective mental phe in the brain-behavior sciences. By uniting the subjective mental phe in the brain-behavior sciences. By uniting the subjective mental phe in the brain-behavior sciences. By uniting the subjective mental phe in the brain-behavior sciences are sciences. Synthese 22, 396–413. nomena with the objective cerebral events within a single monisti nomena with the objective cerebral events within a single monisti Pols, E. (1971): Power and agency. Intern. Philos. Quart. XI, 293–313. continuum in the brain, it serves also to bridge in principle the long Puccetti, R. (1973): Brain bisection and personal identity. Br. J. Philos. Sci. 24, pp. 339–355. standing gap between science and the humanities.

Our current interpretation leads to a unifying concept of mind Our current interpretation leads to a diffying concept of mind Neurol. 70, Neurology and the mind-brain problem. Amer. Scientist 40, 291–312. brain, and man in nature and points to a "this world" framework fo brain, and man in nature and points to a "this world" framework to Sperry, R. W. (1961): Cerebral organization and behavior. Science 133, 1749–1757. human values—a framework within which science can operate. Subject Sperry, R. W. (1964): Problems outstanding in the evolution of brain function. In: James tive values become objective causal agents operating in the physica brain, and through the brain onto the surrounding world. As the brain sperry, R. W. (1965): Mind, brain, and humanist values. In: New Views on the Nature of process comes to be understood objectively, all mental phenomena including the generation of values, can be treated as objective causi Sp agents in human decision making. The origins, directive potency, an the consequences of values all become amenable, in principle, to object tive scientific investigation and analysis. This applies at all levels, from St that of the pleasure–pain centers and other reinforcement systems of the Sperry, R. W. (1970a): Perception in the absence of the neocortical commissures. Assoc. for brain on up through the forces that mold priorities at the societa national, and international plane. A separate science of values become theoretically feasible, and a matter of top priority today considering the critical control role played by the human value factor in determinin world crisis conditions.

Some of the main implications can be seen to derive from th fact that conscious experience in this view is given an operation's causal role in objective models of cerebral function, and thus a reaso for being and for having been evolved. This is not true for the mater To alistic or various parallelistic interpretations in which the brain woul Wimsatt, W. C. (1971): Aggregativity and complexity. Proc. 4th Int'l. Cong. for Logic, function just as well in terms of the neural events whether or not neur events had subjective properties.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Supported by USPHS grant No. MH 03372 and the Hixe fund of the California Institute of Technology.

Bogen, J. E. (1969): The other side of the brain. II. An appositional mind. Bull. L.A. Neurol Soc. 34, 135-162.

Sperry, R. W. (1943): Functional results of crossing sensory nerves in the rat. J. Comp. Neurol. 78, 59-90.

Arthur Lecture. New York: American Museum of Natural History.

Man. Ed. by J. R. Platt, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, pp. 71–92. erry, R. W. (1966): Brain bisection and mechanisms of consciousness. In: Brain and

Conscious Experience. Ed. by J. C. Eccles, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, pp. 298–313. erry, R. W. (1968): Mental unity following surgical disconnection of the cerebral hemispheres. In: Harvey Lectures. New York: Acad. Press, Inc.

erry, R. W. (1969): A modified concept of consciousness. Psychol. Rev. 76, 532-536. Research of Nervous and Mental Diseases 48, 123-138.

rry, R. W. (1970b): An objective approach to subjective experience: Further explanation of a hypothesis. Psychol. Rev. 77, 585-590.

rry, R. W. (1972): Science and the problem of values. Perspectives in Biology and Medicine 16, 115-130.

rry, R. W. (1973): Lateral specialization in the surgically separated hemispheres. In: The Neurosciences: Third Study Program. Ed. by F. O. Schmitt and F. G. Worden, Cambridge: MIT Press.

erry, R. W. & Miner, N. (1955): Pattern perception following insertion of mica plates into visual cortex. J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol. 48, 463-469.

lhard de Chardin, P. (1959): The Phenomenon of Man. New York: Harper

Methodology, and Philos. of Sci.